

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MT

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/730,177	12/05/2000	Chang Hyun Lee	SO-405	8039	
759	0 03/26/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Transnational 1	Enterprises, Inc.		GRANT,	ALVIN J	
Ste 207	•				
95 Bulldog Blvd	i.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Melbourne, FL	e, FL 32901 3723 · 9		.9		

DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary							
		09/730,177	LEE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alvin J Grant	3723				
- Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap _l r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failum Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 N	May 2002.					
•		s action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
1	closed in accordance with the practice under t	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositio	on of Claims						
•	Claim(s) 8-19 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>8-19</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
0)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.					
Application	on Papers						
•	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
' ' '	The bath of declaration is objected to by the L.	xamilier. Note the attached Office	Action of form 1 10-132.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
a)[2	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Ception from the International Bureate the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment	• •						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Linterview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08, No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3723

Notice

Neither the amendment filed on 17 April 2002 nor the amendment filed on 15 May 2002 complied with Rule 121 as it stood at the time of filing of either of these amendments. However, as the office has just recently been made aware of the 15 May 2002 amendment, both amendments will be accepted and considered together under rule 135(c) as set forth in MPEP 710.01 as a response so that the merits of the application will be examined. The Examiner is able to act on the claims but the amendments made to the specification have not been made. Any reply to this action must be in conformance with 37CFR 1.21 in its current form as amended effective on 30 July 2003. Please note a copy of the updated version of Rule 121 is attached to this office action for your convenience.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 8, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 19 are objected to because of the following informalities:

- Claim 8, in the last line, change "with predetermined intervals" to read, "at predetermined intervals".
- Claim 11, line 1, change "comprising" to read, "comprises"; and in line 2, change "which are" to read, "and located".
- Claim 12, line 2, change "with respect to on both sides" to read, "respectively on both sides".
- Claim 14, change "increase the ring portion to the periphery" to read, "increase from the ring portion toward the periphery"
- Claim 15, line 4, change "gradually according as" to read, "gradually as".
- Claim 16, line 1, change "comprising" to read, "comprises"; and in line 2, change "which are" to read "located".
- Claim 17, line 2, change "with respect to on both side surfaces" to read, "respectively on both side surfaces".

Application/Control Number: 09/730,177

Art Unit: 3723

• Claim 19, line 3, change "according as" to read, "as".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 8-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, lines 11 and 12, recite the limitation "surface of the circular steel disk are radially overlapped in position with the wings on the other side surface" which is awkwardly and confusingly worded.

Claims 10 and 15 recite the limitation "both arcs" in 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 8-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ji '017 in view of Achterberg '786.

Ji discloses a saw blade comprising a steel disk including an opening at the center for connecting with a shaft of a motor-driven tool and particulate bearing layer on both surfaces of the steel disk, a plurality of streamlined wings extending from the ring portion to the periphery of the steel disk, the streamlined wings are formed on both side surfaces of the steel disk so that the first streamlined wings are formed on both side surfaces of the steel disk are overlapped with second streamlined wings attached on the other side

Application/Control Number: 09/730,177

Art Unit: 3723

surface; the first streamlined wings on one side surface of the circular steel disk are overlapped in a full and aligned manner with the second streamlined wings on the other side surface of the circular disk; the steel disk comprises a plurality of slits on its outer periphery at predetermined intervals between the cutting segments; and the cutting segments are turbo-type defined as planar jig-jag profiles with respect to both sides of the cutting surface. Ji does not specifically disclose that the blade contained slits on the periphery at predetermined intervals with holes with sizes increasing from the center toward the periphery of the blade. Achterberg discloses a saw blade containing slits located at predetermined intervals along the periphery and holes with sizes increasing from the center to the periphery of the saw blade so as to improve the cutting ability of the blade and to aid in the dissipation of the heat contained therein. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the saw blade of Ji to have slits located at predetermined intervals along the periphery and holes with sizes increasing from the center to the periphery of the saw blade as taught by Achterberg, so as to improve the cutting ability of the blade and to aid in the dissipation of the heat contained therein.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin J Grant whose telephone number is (703) 305-3315. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Joseph J Hail can be reached on (703) 308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this
application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ajg

Joseph J. Hail, III
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 3700